Effect of Treatment Compositions on the Plasticity of Tropical Red Soil Treated with Bacillus Coagulans.
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Abstract: The study evaluated the effect of four treatment compositions on the plasticity of tropical red soil (Lateritic soil) admixed with Bacillus coagulans (B. coagulans). Samples for Atterberg limits test were prepared using four treatment compositional variables. They include 25% B. coagulans suspension and 75% cementation reagent, (25%B /75%C); 50% B. coagulans suspension and 50% cementation reagent (50% B /50%C); 75% B. coagulans suspension and 25% cementation reagent, (75%B /25% C) with the above three being in equivalent volumes of the corresponding liquid limit(LL) and 50% of the optimum moisture content (OMC) of compaction, to be both B. coagulans suspension and cementation reagent (i.e. 50% OMC B /50%OMC C) of the natural soil. Results showed that the LL for; 25%B /75% C, 50% B /50% C and 75% B /25%C generally increased from 0 up to peak values at 1.8 x 10⁹ cells/ml and then declined at 2.4 x 10⁹ cells/ml. In the case of samples treated with 50% OMC B/50% OMC C, the LL initially decreased from 0 up to 6.0 x 10⁸ cells/ml and thereafter increased significantly. Plastic limit (PL), Plasticity index (PI) and Linear shrinkage (LS), recorded improvement. Regression analysis for the best treatment composition (i.e 75%B /25%C) has regression coefficient of 91.8%. Based on the four treatment compositions considered, 75%B/25%C enhanced the soil workability significantly and is suggested for geotechnical engineering applications such as road pavements that are lightly trafficked.
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1. Introduction

Lateritic soil is a reddish tropical soil found in abundance in Nigeria which in most case is not fit for usage as construction material in its natural form due to high fines content, high water absorption, swelling and shrinkage problems during wetting and drying and so on. Many of such soils are deficient for engineering applications and hence need improvement prior to field application. Past researches [1-4] used well known additives such as cement, agro-industrial waste, lime, and other pozzolanic materials for soil improvement. These practices are either more expensive or some of them are not ecologically pleasant and therefore not viable as a means for soil improvement.

Over the years, several techniques of soil improvement have emerged to enhance engineering properties of deficient soils. Van Paassen [5] first reported on a research in Australia someplace bacteria were utilized to reinforce sand and repair monuments. However, further investigations by Australian research group reported that after treatment with bacteria suspension, the sand turned to columns of calcareous sandstone [6]. The bio-cemented columns of sand were then broadly analyzed and recorded a decline in permeability and increase in strengths. This finding gave rise to microbial-induced calcite precipitation (MICP). MICP is a new sustainable and environmentally friendly soil improvement procedure that makes the use of soil micro-organisms (such as B. coagulans) to precipitate calcite through urea hydrolysis.

Report of research by Burne and Chen [7] on urea hydrolysis involves a sequence of chemical reactions (see eqs. (1) – (6)) that end result is the formation of ammonium and carbon dioxide. The role of microbes (i.e B. coagulans) is the release of urease enzymes that activate the urea hydrolysis needed for the bio-cementation and bio-clogging of the treated soil. The chemical reaction of urea hydrolysis is shown in eq. (1):

\[ CO(NH_2)_2 + H_2O = 2NH_3 + CO_2 \] (1)
The produced hydroxyl ions obtained as by product of ammonia conversion to ammonium results in the rise in pH value which pave way for bicarbonate decomposition to form carbonate ions as shown in eq (2):

$$2NH_3 + 2H_2O = 2NH_4^+ + 2OH^-$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The carbon dioxide produced further decomposes to bicarbonate (HCO$_3^-$) in the presence of water (see eq. (3)) and it thus reacts with the hydroxyl ions to produce carbonate ions (see eq. (4)) and henceforth in calcium ion (Ca$^{2+}$) presence, the calcite (CaCO$_3$) is precipitated (see eq. (5))

$$CO_2 + H_2O = HCO_3^- + H^+$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$HCO_3^- + H^+ + 2OH^- = CO_3^{2-} + 2H_2O$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Equations 3 and 4 shows that

$$Ca^{2+} + CO_3^{2-} = CaCO_3$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The complete process for the urea hydrolysis and the corresponding calcium carbonate formation is represented in eq. (6)

$$CO(NH_2)_2 + 2H_2O + Ca^{2+} = 2NH_4^+ + CaCO_3$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Bio-clogging and Bio-cementation are the two notable applications among many in MICP. Bio-clogging is a process that involves the lessening of the hydraulic conductivity of soil and porous rocks by a materials pore filling the soil void, produced by microbial activity [8]. On the other hand, Bio-cementation improves the workability, strength and the corresponding stiffness of soil and rocks via microbial activity [9]. Bio-cementation involves formation of particle binding material via microbial enzymatic activities which result to improvement in the stiffness and strength of the treated soil [10]. MICP successfully increased the stiffness and strength and also reduced the water permeability of soils as reported in related literatures [11-17]. This study is aimed at evaluating the effect of soil microbes (B. coagulans) on the plasticity of treated lateritic soil using different Treatment Compositions.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Materials

1) Soil sample. Lateritic soil used was sourced from Abagana (68°24’31’’N and 27°52’11’’E), Anambra state, Nigeria. Sample was collected by disturbed sampling technique.

2) Microorganism. The B. coagulans known to be a urease positive bacteria was use for the study. The microbe was isolated from the soil.

3) Cementation reagent. The composition of cementitious reagent used for the study is as outlined by Stocks-Fischer et al., [18]. It contains 2.8 g CaCl$_2$, 20 g of urea, 3g of Nutrient broth, 10 g of NH$_4$Cl and 2.12 g of NaHCO$_3$ per litre of distilled water.

### 2.2 Methods

#### 2.2.1 Isolation of the bacterium specie

The Microorganism (B. coagulans) was isolated from the soil by using serial dilution (In this method 1g of soil measured was placed in 9 ml of sterile distilled water in other to obtain a soil suspension, the process continues to obtain higher dilution of soil suspensions). The isolates were stored at 4°C temperature value in nutrient medium in preparation for its classification and characterization.

#### 2.2.2 The culture medium and growth conditions

The process for preparing culture medium and growth conditions is as delineated by Stocks-Fischer et al., [18]. B. coagulans categorized by American Type Culture Collection as ATCC 8038 [19] was used throughout the study.

#### 2.2.3 MICP treatment procedures and methods

Soil sample for atterberg limit test before MICP treatment was first passed through 425μm sieve. MICP technique was performed by calculating the amount of B. coagulans for varying suspension densities and
cementation reagent. Measurement of *B. coagulans* suspension and cementation reagent was done with the help of a syringe. Four different treatment methods were adopted.

The first three treatment methods were done relative to the liquid limit of the natural soil. The methods involved the use of:

a) 25% of liquid limit to be *B. coagulans* suspension and 75% of liquid limit to be cementation reagent, making a total of 100% liquid limit (25% B / 75% C)

b) 50% of liquid limit to be *B. coagulans* suspension and 50% of liquid limit to be cementation reagent, making a total of 100% liquid limit (50% B / 50% C)

c) 75% of liquid limit to be *B. coagulans* suspension and 25% of liquid limit to be cementation reagent, making a total of 100% liquid limit (75% B / 25% C). Each Test was carried out in duplicate to justify the output of the experiments.

An additional method was also used to determine the atterberg limits relative to optimum moisture content (OMC) of British standard light (BSL) compaction. Compaction test was first carried out on the natural soil using BSL compaction (the most achievable compaction energy in the field) to establish the OMC of compaction. The amount of *B. coagulans* and cementation reagent used for treating the soil was computed relative to the OMC. This method was carried out by mixing the soil with 50% of OMC of compaction to be *B. coagulans* suspension and 50% of OMC of compaction to be cementation reagent (i.e. 50% of OMC B/50% of OMC C) making a total of 100% OMC of the natural soil.

The suspension densities of *B. coagulans* used in treating the soil for all the methods were 0, 1.5 x 10^8, 6.0 x 10^8, 1.2 x 10^9, 1.8 x 10^9 and 2.4 x 10^9/ml, correspondingly. Treated soil specimens were air-dried at laboratory temperature of 25 ± 2°C.

2.2.4 Mass of calcium carbonate content (CCC) measurements

Samples for CCC measurement were treated prior to compaction with *B. coagulans* suspension at one-third (1/3) pore volume (as mentioned by Rowshanbakhta et al., [20] ) in step suspension densities of the microbes. Cementitious reagent was then applied on the compacted soil until saturation was attained. Compacted samples in the mould were used for each stepped suspension density. The samples were then allowed to air dry at laboratory temperature of 25 ± 2°C prior testing. The measurement procedure used is in accordance with that proposed by Mortensen et al., [21] and Choi et al., [22] called the acid wash method. This approach helps in dissolving all soluble calcium in the soil. After washing, with dilute acid, the retained soil mass is dried in an oven and weighed. The difference in the mass between the initial soil sample (A) and the retained sample after washing sample (B) is defined as calcium carbonate mass. The CCC was computed using eq. 7.

\[
CCC = 100 - \frac{B}{A} \times 100
\]  

2.2.5 Statistical analysis

A statistical evaluation was carried on the measured soil variables. The variables include: Plasticity index (PI) as dependent parameter and Liquid limit (LL), Plastic limit (PL), Linear shrinkage (LS) and *B. coagulans* suspension (BCS) density as independent parameters. A regression model was established using Minitab R15 software to forecast the Plasticity index (PI) from the measured laboratory results.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Index properties

The natural soil categorized as A-4(2) soil according to American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials [23] classification system and SC soil according to Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) [24]. A summary of the properties of the natural soil is given in Table 1.

3.2 Calcium carbonate content

The Plot of CCC with *B. coagulans* suspension density is displayed in fig. 1. Plot shows that the amount of CCC formed inside the soil matrix improved with increased in the microbial population from 0/ml upto 2.4 x 10^9 cell/ml. Values marginally increased from 3.6 to 3.9%. The increased could be related with rise in the amount of urease enzymes released by *B. coagulans*. As the number of the *B. coagulans* increased it is apparent that more urease enzymes are released by the microbes leading to the increase in the formation of the calcium carbonate. Chi et al., [12] and Osinubi et al.,[15] in there researches reported that increased microbial density results to higher enzyme activities because of the faces of the microbes serves as a nucleation site to encouraged calcite precipitation in the soil.
Table 1. Natural soil properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Passing BS No. 200 Sieve</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Moisture Content, %</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Limit, %</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Limit, %</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasticity Index, %</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear shrinkage, %</td>
<td>8.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO Classification</td>
<td>A-4(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USCS</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Reddish brown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Calcium carbonate content measurement with varying composition of B. coagulans and cementation reagent.

3.3 Effect of B. coagulans on atterberg limit

3.3.1 Liquid limit

The liquid limit for the three methods of treatment (i.e 25%B /75%C, 50%B /50%C and 75%B /25%C) generally showed a trend of increase from 0 upto peak values at 1.8 x 10^9 cells/ml and thereafter decreased at 2.4 x 10^9 cells/ml (see fig. 2). Values increased each from 34.4% to 36.95%, 36.6% and 38% for 25%B /75%C, 50%B /50%C and 75%B /25%C, respectively. Although all the three treatment compositions recorded similar trend, 50%B /50%C recorded the best improvement in liquid limit follow by 25% of LL B ac/75% of LL Cem. The variations recorded were not so high showing that all the tree methods improved the workability of the soil. The recorded improvement could be associated with the urea hydrolysis that led to the formation of calcite that aid in stiffening of the soil. The ammonia produced as a by-product of urea hydrolysis and released into the soil could be the possible reason for increased pH, and headed to build-up of insoluble calcium trioxocarbonate IV (CaCO3). Similar statement were made by past researches [25-26]. Sheelah [27] reported a decrease in liquid of treated lateritic soil and Kuttanad clays with Bacillus persteurii.

In the case of samples treated with 50% of OMC B/50% of OMC C, the liquid limit initially decreased from 0 upto 6.0 x 10^8 cells/ml and thereafter increased significantly (see fig. 2). The recorded results show that 50% of OMC B/50% of OMC C treatment method tends to increase swelling potential of the soil with increase in the B. coagulans suspension density above 6.0 x 10^8 cells/ml. The suggested reason could be due to other geochemical processes that occur within the soil structure such as Biofilm formation, biogas generation and the formation of other extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) may be responsible for such recorded results. However the amount B. coagulans suspension density and cementation reagent use for treating the soil before drying, which is related to the OMC of compaction cannot be under estimated as a factor for such behavior.

3.3.2 Plastic limit

The graph of plastic limit against B.coagulans suspension density for all the treatment compositions used is shown in fig. 3. It was noticed from the results that the plastic limit generally increased for all the treatment methods with exception of some few points. Samples treated with 25%B /75%C, 50%B /50%C and 75%B /25%C,
respectively, showed marginal differences in their plastic limit values. Values increased each from the natural value of 8.3% to 24.42, 23.17 and 17.32% at 2.4 x 10⁹ cells/ml for 25%B /75%C, 50%B /50%C and 75%B /25%C respectively. The increase in plastic limit may be due to *B. coagulans* urease hydrolysis of urea which formed inorganic carbon and also dissolved ammonium as well as carbon dioxide (CO₂). The ammonia formed and freed into the soil specimens increased the pH thus resulting in the buildup of insoluble calcium trioxocarbonate IV (CaCO₃) [27].

In the case of samples treated with 50% of OMC B/50% of OMC C, similar trend of increase was noted with rise in *B. coagulans* suspension density. Value increased from 8.3% to 17.32%. Based on the recorded results for all the methods used, 50% of OMC B/50% of OMC C recorded the least plastic limit values. This suggested the importance of the treatment composition on the plasticity of lateritic soil treated with *B. coagulans* suspension density. Therefore proper attention is required on treatment composition during field application to achieve the desired workability.

![Fig. 2. Liquid limit for samples treated with varying composition of *B. coagulans* and cementation reagent.](image2)

![Fig. 3. Plastic limit for samples treated with varying composition of *B. coagulans* and cementation reagent.](image3)

### 3.3.3 Plasticity index

Fig. 4 shows a plot of plasticity index against *B. coagulans* suspension density for all the treatment compositions and methods used (i.e 25%B /75%C, 50%B /50%C, 75%B /25%C and 50% of OMC B/50% of OMC C). The plasticity index generally decreased for samples treated with 75%B /25%C and 50% of OMC B/50% of OMC C. The decrease could probably be due to increase in the quantity of urease positive enzymes released within the soil matrix for possible calcite formation by *B. coagulans* that caused the decrease in the plasticity of the soils. Similar results was observed and reported by Osinubi *et al.*, [28] who worked with *B. Pumilus* reported a fall in plasticity index with rise in *B. Pumilus* suspension density. Also, Sheelah [27] reported a reduction in plasticity index with increased *B. pasteurii* in improvement of cohesive soils. Other factors that could not be ruled out for the decrease in plasticity index is the geochemical processes that usually occur within the soil structure such as Biofilm
formation, biogas generation and the formation of Biopolymers and other extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) may be responsible for such recorded results [15, 29-31].

The modified soil with both 25%B /75%C and 50%B /50%C showed an initial decrease in plasticity index from 0/ml upto 1.5 x 10^8 cells/ml and thereafter increased up to 2.4 x 10^9 cells/ml. Values initially decreased each from the natural value of 26.1% to 10.67 and 11.17% at 1.5 x 10^8 cells/ml and thereafter increased to 14.57 and 15% at 1.8 x 10^9 cells/ml for 25%B /75%C and 50%B /50%C respectively. The later increase in plasticity suggests increase in the swelling potential of the soil with this composition of \textit{B.coagulans} and cementation reagent. This negate its suitability for pavement application such as subbase or base material. Increase in calcite formed within the soil matrix along side biochemical reaction in the soil may be responsible for such alterations in the engineering performance of the soil.

![Fig. 4. Plasticity index for samples treated with varying composition of \textit{B. coagulans} and cementation reagent.](image)

### 3.4 Linear shrinkage

The linear shrinkage for all treatment compositions and methods generally showed a trend of decrease from 0 upto 2.4 x 10^9 cells/ml as displayed in fig. 5. Values decreased from the natural value of 8.73% to 3.77, 5.85, 6.56 and 7.23% for 25%B /75%C, 50%B /50%C, 75%B /25%C and 50% OMC B/50% OMC C respectively. The possible explanation to such results possibly will be due to \textit{B. coagulans} urease hydrolysis of urea which formed inorganic carbon, carbon dioxide (CO2) as well as dissolved ammonium. The ammonia produced and free into the soil specimens could be responsible for the rise in the pH thus resulting in the buildup of insoluble calcium trioxocarbonate IV (CaCO3) [25].

![Fig. 5. Linear shrinkage for samples treated with varying composition of \textit{B. coagulans} and cementation reagent.](image)

### 3.5 Regression analysis

The regression model was developed for specimen treated with 75%B /25%C which gave the best improvement based on laboratory experiments among the four methods. The conceptual model established for plasticity index
(PI) by means of Minitab R15 software (see eq. 8) illustrates a strong association between the measured laboratory PI values gotten in the laboratory and the estimated values from the regression model with correlation coefficient $R^2=0.9841$ (see fig. 6) and 0.852-9.316% absolute error (see Table 2). The individual influence of each of the self-determining variables ($B. coagulans$ suspension (BCS), Liquid Limit (LL), Plastic Limit (PL) and Linear shrinkage (LS)) provided the essential data used in developing the model for predicting PI. The established model shows strong relationship between the dependent and independent variables with regression coefficient value of 91.8%.

All the independent variables considered have negative coefficient except LS with positive coefficient. This implies that as the other variables increased (i.e., BCS, LL and PL), the PI decreased. In the case of LS, increase in LS leads to increase in PI of the soil. The implications of this regression model show that increase in LS values directly escalate the swelling potential of the soil evident by the increase in the PI with this factor. Field application of this results should ensure that the shrinkage of the treated specimens are properly guided to achieved anticipated result in the field.

$$y = -0.0057x^3 + 0.2857x^2 - 3.4031x + 21.45$$

$R^2 = 0.9841$

where, PI = Plasticity index, BCS = $B. coagulans$ suspension, LL = Liquid limit, PL = Plastic limit, LS = Linear shrinkage.

### Table 2. Estimated plasticity index against laboratory measured plasticity index values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$B. coagulans$ (suspension density/ml)</th>
<th>Measured plasticity index (%)</th>
<th>Estimated plasticity index (%)</th>
<th>Absolute residual error</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>26.100</td>
<td>25.878</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50E+08</td>
<td>13.939</td>
<td>13.460</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>3.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00E+08</td>
<td>13.925</td>
<td>14.634</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>5.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20E+09</td>
<td>12.000</td>
<td>12.824</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>6.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80E+09</td>
<td>11.660</td>
<td>10.574</td>
<td>1.086</td>
<td>9.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40E+09</td>
<td>10.535</td>
<td>10.791</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>2.426</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.6 Micro analysis

Micro analysis shows the changes in the morphology of the specimen viewed under microscope. Micro analysis was done for natural and 75%B/25%C which gave the best improvement based on laboratory experiments among the four treatment compositions. The Micrograph of natural soil and optimally treated lateritic soil with $2.4 \times 10^9$/ml $B. coagulans$ suspension density is shown in figs 7 and 8 respectively. It can be seen that the micrograph of natural soil has a floppy and flaccid appearance with black patches which indicate voids or micro pores within the soil skeleton as shown in fig 7. In the case of fig 8 for the modified soil, it appears to be coarser in appearance when related with the natural soil. Suggested explanation can be said to be due to bio cementation and bio clogging of the soil with $B. coagulans$ suspension. $B. coagulans$ suspension introduced into soil paved way for calcite formation by urea hydrolysis aided by the urease enzyme released by the microbes. Apart from calcite formation,
other biogeochemical processes could be responsible for the filling of the voids within the soil matrix and stiffening of the soil as proposed by past researches [29-31].

Fig.7. Micrograph of lateritic soil – 0/ml (i.e natural soil) B. coagulans suspension

Fig.8. Micrograph of lateritic soil – 2.4 × 10⁹/ml B. coagulans suspension

4. Conclusion

The natural soil classified as A-4(2) based on AASHTO classification system and SC soil based USCS classification system. Samples for atterberg limit test was prepared using the four treatment compositions which include: 25%B /75%C, 50%B /50%C, 75%B /25%C and 50% of OMC B/50% of OMC C. Results shows that LL; PL, PI and LS, recorded improvement for all the four treatment compositions. Regression analysis for the best treatment compositions (i.e 75%B /25%C) has regression coefficient of 91.8%. Based on the four treatment compositions considered, 75%B /25%C enhanced the soil workability significantly and is suggested for geotechnical engineering application. Further studies should consider the application of this treatment composition to evaluate the strength performance of lateritic soil.
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